

M54 to M6 Link Road TR010054

8.8 LIU(I) Draft Statement of Common Ground with Ian Simkin & Adrian Simkin

APFP Regulation 5(2)(q)

Planning Act 2008

Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009

Volume 8

November 2020



Infrastructure Planning

Planning Act 2008

The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009

M54 to M6 Link Road Development Consent Order 202[]

8.8 LIU(I) Draft Statement of Common Ground with Ian Simkin & Adrian Simkin

Regulation Number	Regulation 5(2)(q)
Planning Inspectorate Scheme	TR010054
Reference	
Application Document Reference	8.8 LIU(I)
Author	M54 to M6 Link Road Project Team and
	Highways England

Version	Date	Status of Version
1 (P02)	November 2020	Issue to ExA for Deadline 1



STATEMENT OF COMMON GROUND

This Statement of Common Ground has been prepared and agreed by (1) Highways England Company Limited and (2) Ian Simkin & Adrian Simkin.

Signed	
Andrew Kelly Project Manager	
on behalf of Highways England	
Date: [DATE]	
Signed	
[NAME]	

on behalf of Ian Simkin & Adrian Simkin Date: [DATE]

[POSITION]



Table of contents

Cha	pter	Pages
1	Introduction	1
1.1	Purpose of this document	1
1.2	Parties to this Statement of Common Ground	1
1.3	Terminology	1
2	Record of Engagement	2
3	Issues	4
3.1	Introduction and General Matters	4
3.2	Issues	4
	of Tables	
	e 2-1: Record of Engagement	
Table	e 3-1: Issues	4

List of Appendices

Appendix A: Initials and details of individuals involved



1

1 Introduction

1.1 Purpose of this document

- 1.1.1 This Statement of Common Ground ('SoCG') has been prepared in respect of an application for a Development Consent Order ('the Application') under section 37 of the Planning Act 2008 ('PA 2008') for the proposed M54 to M6 Link Road ('the Scheme') made by Highways England Company Limited ('Highways England' or 'HE') to the Secretary of State for Transport ('Secretary of State').
- 1.1.2 This SoCG does not seek to replicate information which is available elsewhere within the Application documents. All documents are available on the Planning Inspectorate website.
- 1.1.3 This SoCG has been produced to confirm to the Examining Authority where agreement has been reached between the parties, and where agreement has not (yet) been reached. SoCGs are an established means in the planning process of allowing all parties to identify and so focus on specific issues that may need to be addressed during the examination.
- 1.1.4 This version of the SoCG has been drafted by Highways England based on correspondence with Mr Ian Simkin and Mr Adrian Simkin during the development of the Scheme and records Highways England's current understanding of the matters agreed and not agreed.
- 1.1.5 Highways England will continue to work to finalise the contents of this SoCG at the earliest opportunity as the Application proceeds through the Examination process.

1.2 Parties to this Statement of Common Ground

- 1.2.1 This SoCG has been prepared by (1) Highways England as the Applicant and (2) Ian Simkin & Adrian Simkin ('Landowner').
- 1.2.2 Highways England became the Government-owned Strategic Highways Company on 1 April 2015. It is the highway authority in England for the strategic road network and has the necessary powers and duties to operate, manage, maintain and enhance the network. Regulatory powers remain with the Secretary of State. The legislation establishing Highways England made provision for all legal rights and obligations of the Highways Agency, including in respect of the Application, to be conferred upon or assumed by Highways England.
- 1.2.3 Ian Simkin & Adrian Simkin are the freehold owners of plots 6/37,6/23, 6/25,6/29, 6/30 & 6/31 as identified on the Land Plans (Application documents reference 2.2) and in the Book of Reference (Application document reference 4.3).

1.3 Terminology

- 1.3.1 In the tables in the Issues chapter of this SoCG, 'Not Agreed' indicates a final position. 'Under discussion' indicates where points will be the subject of ongoing discussion wherever possible to resolve, or refine, the extent of disagreement between the parties. 'Agreed' indicates where the issue has been resolved.
- 1.3.2 It can be taken that any matters not specifically referred to in the Issues chapter of this SoCG are not of material interest or relevance to Ian Simkin & Adrian Simkin, and therefore have not been the subject of any discussions between the parties. As such, those matters can be read as agreed, only to the extent that they are either not of material interest or relevance to Ian Simkin & Adrian Simkin.



2 Record of Engagement

2.1.1 A summary of the meetings and correspondence that has taken place between Highways England and Ian Simkin & Adrian Simkin in relation to the Application is outlined in Table 2-1.

Table 2-1: Record of Engagement

Date	Form of correspondence	Key topics discussed
12/10/2017	Meeting	Meeting to discuss potential land take requirements, route options and current land use.
22/02/2019	Meeting	Meeting to discuss proposed route and land take requirements prior to statutory S42 consultation.
23/05/2019	Letter pack from Gateley Hamer (GH) to landowner	S42 consultation pack. Included Land Interest Plans showing areas of land ownership and areas of land that may be required for the Scheme and the Order limits. The draft Environmental Masterplan was also made available online, indicating initial thoughts on areas required for environmental mitigation.
03/10/2019	Letter from GH to landowner	Land by agreement letter sent.
11/11/2019	Letter sent from GH to landowner	Supplementary consultation documents arrived with landowners including revised plans showing areas proposed for permanent and temporary land acquisition. Also included links to revised Environmental Masterplan and General Arrangement Plan to help explain the reasons for land acquisition.
02/12/2019	Meeting	Meeting to discuss supplementary consultation and permanent/temporary land take requirements.
24/01/2020	Letter from HE to landowner and agent	Letter providing scheme update and suggesting preparation of SoCG.
28/01/2020	Letter from land agent	Summary of objections and representations.
09/03/2020	Letter and Notice from HE to landowner and agent	Section 56 letter and Notice notifying persons of accepted Application arrived with landowner and agent.
17/04/2020	Letter and email from HE to landowner and agent	Updated Section 56 letter and Notice notifying persons of accepted Application and extension of relevant representative period due to Covid19.
01/06/2020	Letter from HE to landowner and agent	S56 – Additional relevant representation.



_		
15/07/2020	Email to land agent	Email with following attachments; Letter containing response to landowner/agent representations, Heads of Terms and Mitigation Technical Note.
29/07/2020	Email to agent	Notification of change request.
21/08/2020	Letter from HE to landowner and agent	Supplementary consultation letter sent.
07/09/2020	Email from SLB to SB	Question raised regarding environmental masterplan key.
14/09/2020	Email from SB to SLB	Proposed draft land plans sent to landowner and agent with additional information on plan key indicating soil storage area and meeting invitation to land agent.
15/09/2020	Email from SLB to SB	Confirmation of receipt of draft plans and confirmed contact would be in due course should their clients wish to have a meeting.
21/09/2020	Consultation response via online response form	Response to consultation on proposed DCO changes, via online response form.

2.1.2 It is agreed that this is an accurate record of the key meetings and consultation undertaken between (1) Highways England and (2) Ian Simkin & Adrian Simkin in relation to the issues addressed in this SoCG.



3 Issues

3.1 Introduction and General Matters

3.1.1 This chapter sets out the 'issues' which are agreed, not agreed, or are under discussion between Ian Simkin & Adrian Simkin and Highways England.

3.2 Issues

3.2.1 The table below shows those matters which have been agreed or yet to be agreed by the parties, including a reference number for each matter, and the date and method by which it was agreed (if relevant).

Table 3-1: Issues

Issue	Document	Landowner comment	Highways England Response	Status	Agreement likely? (app) ¹	Agreement likely? (IP)
Proposed	Land agent's	The permanent	Plot 6/23 is required for a number of	Under	High	
environmental	Relevant	acquisition of plots	Works (35, 36 and 39) which all relate	discussion		
mitigation	Representation	5/26, 5/27 and 6/25 for	to the realignment of M6 Junction 11			
	RR-033 and	environmental	and the construction of the new M6			
	letter received	mitigation is objected	Junction 11 circulatory carriageway.			
	from Bagshaws	to, as the comprises an	This land is also required for works to			
	on 28/01/2020	arable field parcel of	realign the existing PRoW Saredon 13.			
		Land Classification				
		Grade 3a. The loss of	Plots 5/26, 5/27 and 6/25 were required			
		good quality agricultural	permanently for ecological mitigation.			
		land is contrary to	However, as a result of further			
		scheme guidelines and	ecological surveys and changes to the			
		the NPPF.	design to reduce the ecological impact			

¹ Indication on likelihood that the matter will be agreed by the close of the Examination period as rated by the applicant (app) and the Interested Party (IP). Dark green = agreed, light green = high likelihood of agreement, orange = medium likelihood of agreement, red = low likelihood of agreement.



Lond agent's	The permanent acquisition of plots 5/26, 5/27, 6/25 and 6/23 for environmental mitigation is objected to as it is considered excessive for the offsetting of only approximately 0.79 ha of arable land being taken for the road construction and we do not accept that the extent of the land proposed to be taken for mitigation, at 3.504 ha, is necessary and proportionate for environmental mitigation.	of the Scheme, HE has proposed to change the Environmental Masterplan [proposed changes versions AS-086 to AS-092/6.2] [so that environmental mitigation is no longer present on these plots and the plots are therefore not required to be acquired on a permanent basis. This change will be implemented if accepted by the Examining Authority. The northern part of plot 6/25 is still required temporarily for soil storage during construction, but would be reinstated to its previous condition and returned to the landowner after construction. One reason that this land was selected for removal from the Environmental Masterplan was in recognition of its status as Best and Most Versatile (BMV) agricultural land as highlighted by Messrs Simkin' representation made on 28/01/2020 as well as Natural England.	Under		
Land agent's Relevant Representation RR-033	If it is held that land has to be taken for environmental mitigation, then we would welcome the opportunity to discuss terms of the retention of the freehold, but	Should the design changes submitted on 9 October 2020 be accepted by the Examining Authority, no land owned by Ian and Adrian Simkin would be permanently acquired for the sole purpose of environmental mitigation. Should the changes not be accepted by the Examining Authority, Highways	discussion	Medium	



						,
		subject to a	England is willing to continue			
		management	discussions on the potential			
		agreement for the	management arrangements post			
		management of the	construction, to be secured via			
		land in an	agreement. Any agreement would			
		environmentally	need to ensure that landowner adheres			
		beneficial way to help	to maintenance requirements in line			
		satisfy environmental	with that required on the DCO, if made.			
		mitigation requirements				
		of the scheme.				
L	Land agent's	(With regards to	The Environmental Masterplan	Under	Medium	
l ro	response to the	Change 7)	published for consultation on 24 August	discussion		
c	consultation on	We agree with the	2020 showed a pink/ purple outline on			
c	changes to the	proposed reduction in	plot 6/25. This area would be used for			
	DCO	order limits at this	temporary soil storage during the			
		location, which	construction of the Scheme. This			
		removes the south	boundary is not shown on the			
		eastern section of my	Environmental Masterplan issued with			
		client's land at plot	the Scheme changes on 9 October			
		6/25. In addition, we	2020.			
		welcome the removal				
		the species-rich	As recognised by the landowner, the			
		grassland habitat	area covered by plot 6/25 located to the			
		creation across the	south-east of M6 Junction 11 is			
		whole plot, following the	proposed to be significantly reduced by			
		review of the extent of	the Scheme changes. This means that			
		mitigation across the	plot 6/25 is significantly smaller on the			
		scheme. On review of	revised Land Plans submitted on 9			
		the Environmental	October 2020 than in the current Land			
		Masterplan overview	Plans [AS-007/2.2]. If changes are			
		revision plan, the	accepted by the Examining Authority,			
		remainder of plot 6/25,				



		which remains to be acquired temporarily, has an unexplained outlined area within, absent of a reference to it on the legend. Therefore, we cannot comment any further until such time as clarification of what the pink outlined area represents is provided to us, which was requested from HE on the 7th September 2020.	this plot would also only be required on a temporary basis. Clarification on the purpose of the area outlined in pink/ purple was provided via email to the land agent on 14/09/2020. It was also annotated on the plan published on 24 August showing the changes to the Masterplan (comment EM3).			
Compensation	Letter from Bagshaws, 28/01/2020	'Our clients have been approached by a number of developers for commercial development of this land and as such would be claiming hope value if permanent acquisition is required.'	Compensation values will be determined by the District Valuer. Highways England sent draft Heads of Terms to the landowners dated 15/07/2020 and have received no response to date.	Under discussion	Medium	
Land take requirements	Land agent's Relevant Representation RR-033 and letter received from Bagshaws	Messrs Simkin object to the acquisition of [6/23] where there is the proposed acquisition of the entire road frontage of the field parcel along the A460 with no	Highways England will seek to retain access to the adjacent land from the lay-by. The land take along the A460 is required to accommodate any changes to the existing layby. As per the current scenario, 3rd party land ownership will be maintained from the back of the lay-	Under discussion	Medium	



	dated 28/01/2020	provision for access and turning agricultural vehicles or for any other future uses.	by with access provided to match the current arrangement.			
Grazing requirements	Letter from Bagshaws, 28/01/20. Also mentioned in land agent's Relevant Representation	The new scheme boundary of 6/23 and 6/20a to be used temporarily in the road scheme, will negate the ability of the remaining field area to be used for arable production, due to the small and unworkable shape created. This field may accordingly only be suitable for grazing and as such will require the provision of a water supply.	Please note that plot 6/20a (as identified during the November 2019 Supplementary Consultation) is now plot 6/37 in the Land Plans (P02) submitted as part of the Application. Plot 6/37 (formerly 6/20a) is required temporarily for a working area alongside the existing slip road, which is to be realigned. We will continue to discuss accommodation works with landowners as the project progresses.	Under discussion	Medium	
Bridleway realignment	Letter from Bagshaws, 28/01/20	Plot 6/31 is shown as a narrow blue line on the road scheme plan and detailed in your interest schedule to be required temporarily and rights to be acquired permanently. We can only assume this relates to the proposed position of a public bridleway, however, it	Plot 6/31 is in the correct position. The blue shaded area indicated the rights area required for the realignment of the bridleway. This is indicated as a corridor to include the horizontal limits of deviation for the adjacent highway which accounts for the slight variation in marked location. The proposed location is as per the Draft Environmental Masterplan (as	Under discussion	Medium	



	does not appear to sit	presented at November 2019			
	in the correct position	supplementary consultation) which			
	on the Draft	currently sits within Plot 6/23 however			
	Environmental	as the route runs alongside the bottom			
	Masterplan dated 8th	of the embankment it is necessary to			
	November 2019,	provide a corridor to account for the			
	revision PO9. When	horizontal limits of deviation therefore			
	scaled off the bridleway	the blue area shown is the edge of the			
	was in an entirely	corridor.			
	different position to that				
	shown on the road				
	scheme plan.'				
Land agent's	(With regards to	The bridleway is a PRoW and the	Under	Medium	
response to the	Change 7)	Applicant is required by policy and	discussion		
consultation on	We note the previously	legislation to retain connectivity of			
changes to the	objected to acquisition	PRoW where possible. Lack of use is			
DCO, also	of plot 6/31, for the	not sufficient justification by itself to			
raised in land	provision of a	extinguish a PRoW.			
agent's	bridleway, Saredon				
Relevant	BW13, which	The Scheme seeks to maintain existing			
Representation	terminates at M6	levels of non-motorised user			
RR-033 and	Junction 11, still	connectivity as a minimum requirement.			
letter received	remains as	The route currently connects into M6			
from Bagshaws	part of the scheme on	Junction 11 where crossing facilities are			
dated	both the revised land	provided to enable connectivity over the			
28/01/2020	plans and	M6. The Scheme proposes to improve			
	Environmental	the crossing facilities for non-motorised			
	Masterplan overview	users at M6 Junction 11. This route			
	revision plan. The	provides a connection between M6			
	Bridleway is not used,	Junction 11 and Great Saredon			
	and has been unused	therefore it is anticipated that this route			
	for many years, it is	will be required to be re-instated and			



	considered dangerous	upgraded, where required, to an		
	and therefore, we feel	acceptable standard to address any		
	unnecessary to be	safety concerns.		
r	einstated within the			
r	oad scheme,			
	especially as the			
ii	ncreased road noise			
	and proximity to traffic			
v	will not be expected to			
ii	ncrease its use. As			
	part of the			
E	Environmental			
	Statement (ES), the			
	2017 walking, cycling			
	and horse riding (WCH)			
S	survey			
r	esults showed no			
r	ecorded users of this			
	particular public right of			
	way for the duration of			
t	he data collection			
l p	period (Environmental			
	Statement Chapter 12			
	TR010054/APP/6.1]).			
	The Environmental			
	Statement Chapter 2			
	TR010054/APP/6.1]			
	sets out that the			
	Environmental			
	Masterplan includes			
	measures to 'ensure			



the connectivity of PRoW and other routes used by pedestrians and cyclists are maintained', this is in opposition with the survey results. The route, Saredon		
evidenced in Chapter		
12 of the ES and		
therefore should be		
removed, as opposed		
to realigned, as part of		
The Scheme. No		
evidence has		
been provided by HE to		
justify the acquisition of		
these rights.		



Rationale for	Land agent's	Messrs Simkin object to	Highways England can confirm that	Under	Medium	
permanent land	Relevant	the acquisition of plots	plots 6/29 and 6/30 are being required	discussion	Wicarani	
take	Representation	6/29 and 6/30 as we	for Work no. 39 which is for the			
	RR-033 and	consider them to be	realignment and widening of the A460			
	letter received	surplus to the scheme	southbound by a single lane from the			
	from Bagshaws	and they are not being	M6 toll merge to M6 Junction 11 and			
	dated	acquired for the	the widening of the A460 northbound			
	28/01/2020	development, or	carriageway by a single lane from M6			
		incidental to, the	Junction 1, tapering back down to two			
		construction of the	lanes ahead of the M6 Toll overbridge			
		highway. They are	as set out within the Statement of			
		situated to the Eastern	Reasons [APP-021/4.1]. No			
		side of the hedge from	environmental mitigation is proposed on			
		the highway and upon	either parcel. Both plots are required			
		reference to the Works	for the construction of the highway.			
		Plans will not be				
		impacted by, or				
		necessary for, the				
		scheme. A narrow strip of land will be acquired				
		for species rich				
		grassland				
		Environmental				
		Mitigation which will be				
		impractical (and				
		virtually impossible) to				
		manage on an ongoing				
		basis.				



Articles and Requirements	N/A	N/A	The Applicant has not received any comments on the Articles or Requirements on the draft DCO from Messrs Simkin.	Under discussion	High	





Appendix A: Initials and details of individuals involved

Initials	Name	Role or Discipline	Organisation
AK	Andrew Kelly	Project Manager	Highways England
СВ	Christine Baggott	Landowners representative	Bagshaws
JH	Jon Harvey	Stakeholder manager	AECOM
SB	Sam Blaize	Principal Surveyor	Gateley Hamer
SD	Simon Davis	District Valuer	Valuation Office Agency
SLB	Suzanna Layton- Busch	Landowners representative	Bagshaws
ТВ	Tom Bennett	Previous stakeholder Manager	Amey

